

UNITED STATES PARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trad mark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED IN	IVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
35/405, 499	09700759	O'REILLY		М	M 05213-0640	
		1 11/2 0 . 25 . 2 4 . 25 4 . 25	\neg	EXAMINER		
HM12/1219 TONES % ASKEW				HUFF,S		
191 PEACHTREE STREET NE 37TH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
ETLANTA GA:	30(-)3-1769			1642 5		
				DATE MAILED:	12/19/00	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trad marks

Office Action Summary

Application No. **09/405,499**

Applicant(s)

O'Reilly et al

Examiner

Sheela J. Huff

Group Art Unit

☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
☐ This action is FINAL .		
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> ,	ot for formal matters, prosecutio 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	on as to the merits is closed
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Fai application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Ext 37 CFR 1.136(a).	ilure to respond within the period	for response will cause the
Disposition of Claims		
	is/a	are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are	withdrawn from consideration.
Claim(s)		
Claim(s)		
☐ Claims		
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra The drawing(s) filed on	objected to by the Examiner. is approved car. er. ority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(comparents) es of the priority documents have Number) the International Bureau (PCT Ro	d). ve been ule 17.2(a)).
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic pr	fority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).	
Attachment(s) X Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper Interview Summary, PTO-413 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152		
SEE OFFICE ACTION (ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES	

Application/Control Number: 09/405499 Page 2

Art Unit: 1642

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 52-73 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. Claims 52-73 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

The specification discloses the isolation of endostatin protein characterized by its molecular weight, N-terminal sequence and ability to inhibit endothelial cell proliferation in vitro. The endostatin protein is disclosed to be identical to a specific fragment from collagen XVIII, which sequence is also present in collagen XV or BOVMPE1 and may be present in other collagen proteins. This fragment is characterized by its size, its heparin binding ability, its sequence, and location within collagen XVIII, and its ability to inhibit endothelial cell proliferation. Thus, endostatin (as defined by the specific fragment from collagen XVIII and/or the N-terminal 20 amino acid sequence of SEQ ID No. 1) may be isolated from other sources. However, the claims are limited to endostatin as defined in the specification with regard to its origin, characteristics and activity. The number of fragments and proteins encompassed by the language "protein is an amino acid sequence of a C-terminal region fragment of a

ij

Art Unit: 1642

collagen protein" are virtually limitless. The claims refers to endostatin as defined as a protein comprising any sequence from a C-terminal fragment from any collagen protein, so long as the fragment inhibits endothelial cell proliferation. There is no guidance regarding what sequence of amino acids found within even a defined fragment would be predicted to inhibit endothelial cell proliferation. There is no guidance regarding which fragments, of what size, from what region, within the C-terminus would be predicted to inhibit endothelial cell proliferation. There is no guidance regarding which collagens are predicted to possess such sequence. Furhter, there is no guidance regarding the content of the rest of the protein, in addition to the amino acid fragment. The specification specifically discloses that not only is the sequence of the fragment vital to endostatin activity, but also that additional sequence added to the definitive fragment is vital. Page 44 discloses that longer fragments of the specific region are not active. While, recombinant techniques are available, it is not routine in the art at screen large numbers of substituted proteins where the expectation of obtaining similar activity is unpredictable based in the instant disclosure. In the case, the number of proteins encompassed is virtually limitless and it would require undue experimentation to screen each and every one of limitless numbers of proteins encompassed to determine if the perhaps inhibit endothelial cell proliferation.

Art Unit: 1642

3. Claims 53 and 64 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Page 4

In each of these claims, the terminology "non fibrillar collagen protein" renders the claim vague and indefinite. What regions are encompassed by this terminology?

Double Patenting

4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

5. Claims 52-62 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-7 and 17-23 of U.S. Patent No. 5854205. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably

Application/Control Number: 09/405499

Page 5

Art Unit: 1642

distinct from each other because the only difference between the two inventions is the scope of the claimed endostatin.

6. Claims 52-62 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-8, 15-17 and 19-20 of copending Application No. 09/315689. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the only difference between the two inventions is the scope of the claimed endostatin.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Conclusion

7.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sheela J. Huff whose telephone number is (703) 305-7866. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday and Thursday from 5:30am to 2:00pm.

Application/Control Number: 09/405499 Page 6

Art Unit: 1642

If attempts to teach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Tony Caputa, can be reached on (703)308-3995.

The FAX phone number for the group is (703)308-4242.

Communications via Internet e-mail regarding this application, other than those under 35 U.S.C. 132 or which otherwise require a signature, may be used by the applicant and should be addressed to [anthony.caputa@uspto.gov].

All Internet e-mail communications will be made of record in the application file. PTO employees do not engage in Internet communications where there exists a possibility that sensitive information could be identified or exchanged unless the record includes a properly signed express waiver of the confidentiality requirements of 35 U.S.C. 122. This is more clearly set forth in the Interim Internet Usage Policy published in the Official Gazette of the Patent and Trademark on February 25, 1997 at 1195 OG 89.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0196.

Sheela J. Huff

December 15, 2000

Application/Control Number: 09/405499

Art Unit: 1642

Page 7

Sheela J. Huff

Primary Examiner